

#### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	, , <del></del>			
AIRS ID#: 0251173 DATE: <u>12/1/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:32 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: ENTEGRA ROOF TILE CORE	P MIAMI				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 9845 NW 117 way					
MEDLEY 33178					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/15/2006 / 9/14/2 (effective date) (end date	Мо РН Мо	ONE: (305)863-0029 bbile: ONE: bbile:			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): JOSE CORE  Brief Notes: PLANT MANAGER	_	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAIRO SINC If no, who is?:	CLAIR?				
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still?	ve update within 30 days?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 -White Cement Silo 1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>d</b> only on box for each question	
Date of last inspection: 4/7/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes X	O
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> only on	ne
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each question	n)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	Yards	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to conemissions by:	ntrol unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes No	o
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when n control emissions?      removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of	Yes No	O
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn particulate matter?	ne 	o
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No	O
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	the truck? Yes No	O
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

## Emissions Unit Section 2 – Gray Northern Silo 1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 4/7/2010  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
<u>U</u> r	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No □ No

## Emissions Unit Section 3 -Gray silo 2 subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 - Gray sno 2 subject to Reasonable Frecautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 4/7/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DADEN EVELD ODGEDVATIONG DATE (2.407.4142) DATE		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter?		☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>

### Emissions Unit Section 4 –White Silo 2 subject to Reasonable Precautions

	4 - White Silo 2 subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•
	Date of last inspection: 4/7/2010  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. <u>aconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Sonveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> </ul>	X Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter?	. <del>-</del>	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

Ī	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes		No No No
	2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes		No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes		No
	3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane		<u>·</u> ≤ 1.00?	?	
	4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion	Yes		No
-					
	GENERAL CONDITIONS				
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 🗆	Yes		No
	2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all				
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	M	Yes		No
	permit and Department rules?		Yes		No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>I</i>		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I         e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	ess days following a relocation? ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purl If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:		con for cacin	question)
	the facility or authorized representat	tive not	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility?	ts or Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility? of the change?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:      Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsections.	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsections of the subsections and the subsections of the subsec	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	an of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsection  12/1/2010  Date of Inspection	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes The Yes Yes Yes The	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No

THE FACILITY WILL CLOSE ON DECEMBER 30, 2010 AND RELOCATE TO OKEECHOBEE COUNTY.